First Hope

COMPLETE NOTES

Click here to visit our website

PHARMACY PRACTICAL NOTES

Complete subject and semesters are available on FirstHope app only.

Scan or click here

Click here to get the app >

Available on Google Play

JOIN THE FIRSTHOPE COMMUNITY

Join our Telegram channel

Click here to join Telegram >

Scan or click here

@FirstHopeNotes

Instagram

@firsthope.official

Click here to follow >

YouTube channel

FirstHope-Pharmacy / Live streams

Click here to watch >

WhatsApp channel

Follow FirstHope on WhatsApp

Click here to join >

FirstHope website

firsthope.co.in

Click here to visit >

B.Pharm / D.Pharm / M.Pharm / Pharm.D

Complete subjects and semesters are available in the FirstHope app.

Clinical Trial Applications in the US EU and Japan

Practical 26 - Regulatory documentation practical

Aim

To compare trial-application requirements across three named jurisdictions.

Principle

A protocol connects the research question to participant protection, design, procedures, analysis and operational controls. Regulatory permission, ethics approval and registration are separate requirements.

Materials and Requirements

- Fictional product or study case and controlled document templates
- Current official requirements for the specified jurisdiction and product category
- Requirement-to-evidence and gap-assessment worksheet
- Approved method or teaching-data pack and a dated record sheet

Procedure

1. Define the preparation or dataset, study question and method version. Record identities, units and the criteria to be used before examining the outcome.
2. Define product category, intended use, jurisdiction, application type and the date of the regulatory check. Keep this as an offline training exercise with no filing, fees or real applicant information.
3. Define a scientifically justified question and one measurable primary endpoint, with a feasible and ethical comparator.
4. Specify design, eligibility, allocation, blinding and study schedule, including withdrawals and safety escalation.
5. Write a justified sample-size and analysis plan with missing-data handling, monitoring, data integrity and consent arrangements.
6. Map the protocol to the assigned jurisdiction and assemble the supporting dossier and review checklist.
7. Perform an independent consistency and completeness check. Record evidence, gaps, owners and the exact approval or permission still required.
8. Retain the original observations and calculations. State deviations, missing information and the limits of the conclusion; do not replace measured values with expected results.

Observations and Record

Enter actual measurements or the supplied teaching data. Record the method, material identity, conditions and units.

Requirement / dossier element	Document / evidence	Gap / responsible role	Readiness decision
Administrative scope	Identify	Assess	Record
Scientific / quality evidence	Map	Assess	Record
Submission / lifecycle	Validate	Assess	Record

Worked Example

Illustrative parallel-group question compares mean change in a defined validated endpoint. A proposed 100 participants per arm is a planning placeholder until supported by effect, variability, type-I error, power and attrition assumptions.

Comparison deliverable

• Use the same fictional product, phase and population. Compare authority, legal route, ethics, scientific dossier, product permission, consent, safety and registration obligations.

• Add a separate responsibility and evidence column for each jurisdiction rather than treating one approval as universal.

Assigned jurisdictions

• US

• EU

• Japan

• For Japan distinguish PMDA scientific consultation/review roles and applicable MHLW/ethics requirements; for each jurisdiction verify the current product-specific procedure.

Result and Interpretation

• Deliver a protocol synopsis, schedule of assessments, safety plan and requirement-to-document map.

• A complete training checklist is not an authorisation, licence or guarantee of acceptance.

Precautions

• Distinguish scientific content requirements from electronic-publishing rules.

• Recheck current forms, amendments, scope and local requirements at actual filing.

• Do not invent approval numbers, signatures, fees or deadlines.

Viva Questions and Answers

Why define the application type first?

It determines the legal route and required evidence.

Does a valid file format prove scientific adequacy?

Technical validation and substantive review are separate.

Why retain requirement versions?

Regulations and submission specifications can change.

First Hope

COMPLETE NOTES

Click here to visit our website

PHARMACY PRACTICAL NOTES

Complete subject and semesters are available on FirstHope app only.

Scan or click here

Click here to get the app >

Available on Google Play

JOIN THE FIRSTHOPE COMMUNITY

Join our Telegram channel

Click here to join Telegram >

Scan or click here

@FirstHopeNotes

Instagram

@firsthope.official

Click here to follow >

YouTube channel

FirstHope-Pharmacy / Live streams

Click here to watch >

WhatsApp channel

Follow FirstHope on WhatsApp

Click here to join >

FirstHope website

firsthope.co.in

Click here to visit >

B.Pharm / D.Pharm / M.Pharm / Pharm.D

Complete subjects and semesters are available in the FirstHope app.